

## Mission Statement

"The mission of the South Burlington School District, a community committed to excellence in education, is to ensure that each student possesses the knowledge, skills, and character to create a successful and responsible life. We will do this by building safe, caring, and challenging learning environments, fostering family and community partnerships, utilizing global resources, and inspiring life-long learning."

| South Burlington School Board <br> Members |
| :--- |
| General E-mail: schoolboard@sbschools.net |
| General Voicemail: 652-7476 |
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Please visit our District website at www.sbschools.net to view the Global Ends Policy, found by going to the Policies and Procedures tab. The school board has identified four goal areas for students to be ready for their next step. They are: disposition for life-long learning, academic proficiency, personal development, and citizenship.


## Enrollments

## INDIVIDUAL School Totals (as of 10/01/14)

| Early Essential Education | 40 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rick Marcotte Central School | 361 |
| Orchard School | 360 |
| Chamberlin School | 226 |
| Frederick H. Tuttle Middle School | 495 |
| South Burlington High School* | 896 |
|  |  |
| Total Enrollments: | $\mathbf{2 , 3 7 8}$ |

*Includes Tuition and School Choice Students


South Burlington High Schoo "Building a Proud Tradition"

Frederick H. Tuttle Middle School "Working Together to Make a Difference"


| School | Student/Teacher Ratio <br> (Literacy, Math, Science, Social Studies) |
| :--- | :---: |
| RCMS | 19.1 |
| Chamberlin | 16.4 |
| Orchard | 18.2 |
| FHTMS * | 20.9 |
| SBHS $*$ | 22.8 |

Orchard School "A Place to Grow"

## Professional Qualifications

Title I (111)(h) of the federal No Child Left Behind Law requires LEAs to publicly report the percentage of core academic classes* NOT taught by highly qualified teachers, the percentage of teachers teaching on emergency credentials by LEA and school, and the professional qualifications of their teachers. The following is the percentage of core academic classes NOT taught by highly qualified teachers and the percentage of teachers teaching on emergency credentials for the 2013-2014 school year. The South Burlington School District is working with teachers to ensure that all HQT requirements are being met.

| School Name | Percentage of core clas- <br> ses taught by teachers <br> who were not HQT . | Percent of teachers <br> teaching with <br> emergency <br> credentials. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Chamberlin School | $0.00 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| F. H. Tuttle Middle <br> School | $0.00 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Orchard School | $0.00 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Rick Marcotte <br> Central School | $0.00 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| So. Burlington <br> High School | $1.19 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

*Core academic subjects are: English/language arts (including ESL), math, science, social studies, reading, foreign languages, art, music, and the generalist endorsement areas of elementary education and early childhood education (grades K-3 only). In addition, alternative program and special education primary instruction assignments in math, science, social studies, and/or ELA/reading are also considered "core" areas.


Martin Luther King Jr. Celebration with Sheryl Garner from Envision Children and community member Monica Ostby "Everyone Can Be Great, Because Everybody Can Serve...

## School's OUT!

South Burlington School District's after school program, "School's Out", was created in 2000. Since its inception, School's Out has always strived to stay true to its mission: School's Out will provide children a safe environment where they will be given the opportunity to express themselves and develop socially, physically, artistically, and creatively through a program that nurtures and respects the uniqueness of every child.

In 2014-2015, we once again increased enrollment at each of the elementary schools. We enrolled a total of 310 students. We also added the "K-Space" to the Rick Marcotte and Orchard programs. The K-Space is a kindergarten only pro-
 gram that is designed to meet the developmental needs of the youngest participants. The addition of this program allowed us to significantly increase capacity and improve the overall after school experience for participants of all ages.

The School's Out summer program continued to take advantage of the many outdoor recreational activities around the state. We also continued our very popular summer learning program which focuses on math and literacy practice in a fun, kid-directed environment.

The School's Out website can be found at http://www.sbschoolsout.com and a direct link can be found on the district's home page. The website is an excellent tool to learn more about the School's Out program.


## STUDENT Support SERVICES

ENROLLMENTS AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS

| Year | Total <br> Students <br> Enrolled | Special Education <br> Child Count* | Section 504** | English <br> Language <br> Learners | Total <br> In <br> Programs | \% of SBSD Stu- <br> dents Receiving <br> Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2014-2015$ | 2331 | 272 | 106 | 217 | 595 | $25.5 \%$ |
| $2013-2014$ | 2413 | 284 | 109 | 165 | 558 | $23.1 \%$ |
| $2012-2013$ | 2351 | 262 | 107 | 141 | 510 | $21.7 \%$ |
| $2011-2012$ | 2419 | 261 | 89 | 151 | 501 | $20.8 \%$ |
| $2010-2011$ | 2427 | 245 | 70 | 168 | 483 | $19.9 \%$ |

*Child Count includes students who are identified as disabled under state and federal law and who require unique instruction.
**Section 504 includes students with disabilities who do not require unique instruction. They are legally entitled to and receive special accommodations in the classroom, such as special seating and modified tests.

The District's number of students in special education has risen since last year. Annually we review and examine service delivery models in each school. Recently instituting a "student independence" process to work with teams in developing the independence of all students, moving them away from unnecessary adult supports. Each school has professional special educators who serve students in our Child Count along with students who may be at risk. Each school has an educational support system with tiered levels of support for all students. Classroom teachers review student data regularly and provide differentiated instruction at Tier I. Tier II may involve some supplemental support, such as extra instruction in reading or a structured study hall. At Tier III students are identified with a disability that may require special education services. Our efforts are to support students as early as possible to ensure their success in school. To learn more about Educational Support Services go to the Educational Support Systems tab on the district website.


## Preschool (Ages 3-5)

South Burlington is part of the Early Learning Project in Chittenden County. These preschool partnerships currently support 212 preschoolers in accessing quality preschool programs. The District continues to collaborate with Child Care Resource to implement the South Burlington Early Childhood Plan. South Burlington continues to offer an Essential Early Education Program (EEE) for children ages 3 through 5 experiencing developmental delays or those who have a medical condition that may interfere with learning and future success in the home, school, and community. This special education program provides specialized instruction to meet the unique needs of the district's 30 students who are currently enrolled.


## English Language Learners (ELL)

We have a vibrant English Language Learner (ELL) Program which is served by 5.7 (FTE) very talented and committed educators. The overall number of students served has increased, with a significant increase at the elementary level. Growth is expected to continue at every level as we enroll students from Bhutan, Somalia, Iraq and China.

We are collaborating with UVM on researching co-teaching in our high school biology class. This class is made up of $1 / 3$ ELL students and is seen as a model of inclusion and success for all students. We provide homework club for students during the school year and extended school services during the summer. Summer services include our new partnership with South Burlington Parks and Recreation for students in grades K-5, our district run middle level summer school program, and individual tutoring of some high school students.

## Assessments

## Early Reading

## Local

- Local Early Literacy Assessment (Gr. K, 1, 2) September and May
- Local—Phonological Assessment (Gr. K, 1, 2) September and May


## English Language Arts

## State

- Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium
(Gr. 3-8, 11) Marcb—June
Local
- Writing Prompt (Gr. K-5)

January

- Gates-MacGinitie Reading Test (Gr. 3-9)

September and May

- Scholastic Aptitude Test I (High School)

Throughout the School Year

- Advanced Placement English Language and

Composition (High School)
May

- Advanced Placement English Literature and

Composition (High School)
May

- American College Test (High School)

Throughout the School Year

- American College Test/PLAN (Gr. 10)

October

- Quality Core English (Gr. 9)


## History/Social Studies

- Advanced Placement European History (High School)

May

- Advanced Placement US Gov’t \& Politics (High School)

May

- American College Test (High School)

Throughout the School Year

## Mathematics

State

- Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium
(Gr. 3-8, 11) March—June


## Local

- Formative Assessment System for Teachers (FAST)
(Gr. K-5) September, January, June
- Scholastic Aptitude Test I (High School)

Throughout the School Year

- Advanced Placement Calculus AB (High School)

May

- Advanced Placement Calculus BC (High School) May
- Advanced Placement Computer Science (High School) May
- American College Test (High School)

Throughout the School Year

- American College Test/PLAN (Gr. 10)

October

## World Language

- Local World Language Assessment (Gr. 8, 10) May and June
- Advanced Placement French (High School) May
- Advanced Placement Spanish (High School)

May

## Science

State

- New England Common Assessment (Gr. 4, 8, 11)

May
Local

- Science Inquiry Task (Gr. K-5)

Throughout the School Year

- Advanced Placement Biology (High School)

May

- Advanced Placement Chemistry (High School) May
- Advanced Placement Env. Sciences (High School) May
- Advanced Placement Physics B (High School)

May

## New England Common Assessment (NECAP) Grade 3-8 Results

The New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) was administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Maine, and Vermont as part of the No Child Left Behind Act. The test measures student performance on Vermont Grade Expectations in Reading, Mathematics, Writing and Science. Starting in 2015, students are taking a new assessment for English Language Arts and Mathematics, the Smarter Balance Assessment Consortium (SBAC). Students will continue to be assessed with the NECAP in Science until 2017. Student performance on these assessments fall into one of four proficiency levels: Proficient with Distinction, Proficient, Partially Proficient, and Substantially Below Proficient. Below are the District's October 2013 results, reflecting all students in Grades 3-8 who scored proficient and above.

It is important to realize that the NECAP assessment results reflect our students' performance from the previous grade. For instance, the third grade results reflect the students' performance in the previous grades. The graphs below will show the year 2013-14 because that is the year of knowledge that was tested in the fall of 2013. The District student performance results indicate that our students consistently perform above the state average and are among the top ranking schools/districts in the state in each of the areas of Reading, Writing, Mathematics, and Science.

One of the main reasons that the assessment is moving from the NECAP to the SBAC is the change in standards. The NECAP was designed to assess the Vermont Grade Level Expectations in Reading, Writing and Math. The SBAC is designed to assess the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) in English Language Arts and Mathematics, which we have been implementing over the last four years. While we will continue to use the NECAP for Science assessment over the next two years, we will be moving to full implementation of the Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) which are replacing the current Vermont Grade Level Expectations in Science.

READING-The NECAP has been administered for the past ten years. Results indicate that we still have a gap between males and females. We have seen a slight increase in the reading performance of students from different socioeconomic backgrounds.

MATHEMATICS - Our student performance results in this area rank above the state average and are among the highest in the state. When reviewing student performance results in mathematics, there continues to be no significant difference between male and female groups.

The category identified as FRL represent students that qualify for free or reduced lunch (FRL). This group is performing below South Burlington peers and continues to be evaluated as to how we can better support them. Each school continues to analyze this data so that we may better plan for how to address this area.

WRITING- Our results continue to be well above the state average. Providing practice tasks to students, and also increasing opportunities for writing across the curriculum in different genres, has helped us maintain these scores. A closer analysis of writing items will provide us with additional information for the next steps. One area that we have already started to work on is our students' ability to write constructed responses, which are open ended questions requiring higher-level thinking.

SCIENCE- The NECAP Science test is given in May. All Vermont students in Grades 4, 8 and 11, take this assessment; unless a student qualifies for alternate assessment. South Burlington students outperformed the State average in each of the grades assessed.

## Assessments-STATE

New England Common Assessment (NECAP) Grade 3 Results

| NECAP Reading <br> Grade 3 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB | $84 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| VT | $68 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| SB Male | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| VT Male | $64 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| SB Female | $87 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| VT Female | $73 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $63 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $56 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $90 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $79 \%$ | $78 \%$ |


| NECAP Math <br> Grade 3 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB | $78 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| VT | $65 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| SB Male | $81 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| VT Male | $66 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| SB Female | $75 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| VT Female | $65 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $49 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $53 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $87 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $76 \%$ | $72 \%$ |



## Assessments-STAte

## New England Common Assessment (NECAP) Grade 4 Results

| NECAP Reading <br> Grade 4 | 2012-13 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| SB | $82 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| VT | $70 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| SB Male | $71 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| VT Male | $66 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| SB Female | $89 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| VT Female | $75 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $70 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $58 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $85 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $80 \%$ | $78 \%$ |


| NECAP Math <br> Grade 4 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| SB | $76 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| VT | $68 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| SB Male | $69 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| VT Male | $66 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| SB Female | $80 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| VT Female | $70 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $64 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $56 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $79 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $78 \%$ | $76 \%$ |


| NECAP Science |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 |$\quad$ 2012-13 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SB | $62 \%$ |
| VT | $48 \%$ |
| SB Male | $50 \%$ |
| VT Male | $45 \%$ |
| SB Female | $73 \%$ |
| VT Female | $50 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $41 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $32 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $68 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $59 \%$ |



## Assessments-STAte

## New England Common Assessment (NECAP) Grade 5 Results

| NECAP Reading <br> Grade 5 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB | $83 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| VT | $72 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| SB Male | $80 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| VT Male | $67 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| SB Female | $86 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| VT Female | $76 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $67 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $58 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $87 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $82 \%$ | $81 \%$ |


| NECAP Math Grade 5 |  | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SB | 85\% | 78\% |
|  | VT | 65\% | 64\% |
|  | SB Male | 81\% | 69\% |
|  | VT Male | 63\% | 62\% |
|  | SB Female | 90\% | 85\% |
|  | VT Female | 67\% | 66\% |
|  | SB FRL | 73\% | 67\% |
|  | VT FRL | 51\% | 49\% |
|  | SB Not FRL | 88\% | 81\% |
|  | VT Not FRL | 75\% | 74\% |



| NECAP Writing <br> Grade 5 |  | 2012-13 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | 2013-14 | SB | $64 \%$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| VT | $51 \%$ |
| SB Male | $54 \%$ |
| VT Male | $39 \%$ |
| SB Female | $74 \%$ |
| VT Female | $63 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $50 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $37 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $67 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $61 \%$ |



## Assessments-STATE

## New England Common Assessment (NECAP) Grade 6 Results

| NECAP Reading <br> Grade 6 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB | $82 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| VT | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| SB Male | $81 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| VT Male | $68 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| SB Female | $83 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| VT Female | $79 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $62 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $62 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $88 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ |


| NECAP Math <br> Grade 6 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4} |$| SB | $77 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| VT | $67 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| SB Male | $78 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| VT Male | $68 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| SB Female | $76 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| VT Female | $68 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $57 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $56 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $83 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $77 \%$ | $75 \%$ |



For detailed information regarding assessment data, please visit the State of Vermont's Department of Education website at:
http://education.vermont.gov/new/
html/pgm_assessment/data.html

## Assessments-STATE

New England Common Assessment (NECAP) Grade 7 Results

| NECAP Reading <br> Grade 7 |  | 2012-13 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$| SB | $77 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| VT | $74 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| SB Male | $68 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| VT Male | $68 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| SB Female | $86 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| VT Female | $81 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $54 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $60 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $81 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $84 \%$ | $80 \%$ |


| NECAP Math <br> Grade 7 |  | 2012-13 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4} |$| SB | $\mathbf{7 1} \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| VT | $61 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| SB Male | $67 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| VT Male | $61 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| SB Female | $75 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| VT Female | $63 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $54 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $44 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $74 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $73 \%$ | $69 \%$ |



## Assessments-STAte

New England Common Assessment (NECAP) Grade 8 Results

| NECAP Reading <br> Grade 8 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB | $94 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| VT | $80 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| SB Male | $92 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| VT Male | $75 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| SB Female | $95 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| VT Female | $86 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $86 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $67 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $96 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $88 \%$ | $83 \%$ |


| NECAP Math <br> Grade 8 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | 2013-14 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB | $86 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| VT | $64 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| SB Male | $88 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| VT Male | $62 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| SB Female | $83 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| VT Female | $67 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $77 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $47 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $88 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $75 \%$ | $70 \%$ |


| NECAP Writing <br> Grade 8 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB | $89 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| VT | $66 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| SB Male | $86 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| VT Male | $53 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| SB Female | $92 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| VT Female | $80 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $74 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| VT FRL | 50 | $37 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | 93 | $69 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | 75 | $64 \%$ |



| NECAP Science <br> Grade 8 |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012-13 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ |  |
| SB | $60 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| VT | $33 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| SB Male | $65 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| VT Male | $31 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| SB Female | $56 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| VT Female | $33 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $41 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $17 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $65 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $42 \%$ | $34 \%$ |

## Assessments-STATE

## SOUTH BURLINGTON HIGH SCHOOL— GRADE 11

## New England Common Assessment (NECAP) Results

READING-The South Burlington High School New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) results in Reading remain above state averages. Our overall results remained constant as compared to last year. Keep in mind that new items are selected for the assessment each year. In addition, we are not assessing the same cohort of students. Grade-level results are being analyzed along with sub-group performance to determine areas in need of improvement. Our departments are also working in data teams where student work and assessment results are analyzed and teachers collaborate in the design of instruction.

MATHEMATICS—At the high school level, our overall mathematics performance is above state average. When we analyze the results by gender, our male students continue to perform better than females. Our students in poverty results increased from last year. We understand that the NECAP items in mathematics are based on the State Grade Expectations in Algebra and Geometry. Starting in school year 2012-2013, all students in grade 9 took at least Algebra I. Starting in 2014-15 all students in grade nine started taking a Common Core aligned program called Math Course 1. This program will continue with the same students moving to Math Course 2, by 2015-16. By the time these students take the SBAC as $11^{\text {th }}$ graders in 2017 they will be the first cohort to have had a math program totally aligned to the new math standards, though they will be the third cohort to take the new assessment.

WRITING-In Grade 11, our scores have significantly improved in the past two years. This is also true when looking at the results by gender and our students in poverty. Again, we continue to score higher than the state average. One programmatic change that we believe impacted student results is our shift to humanities for all ninth grade students. This type of integrated program, that encourages writing across curriculums, has been shown to improve student outcomes on state tests.

SCIENCE- In Grade 11, our scores, since the start of testing, have remained constant with a slight increase each year. As mentioned before we are in the process of shifting to the NGSS. These new standards place greater emphasis on the role of engineering in science. We are in the process of realigning curriculum based on these new standards. Our results for students based on socio-economic status still show a significant achievement gap. We continue to work on this issue.


## Assessments-STATE

New England Common Assessment (NECAP) Grade 11 Results

| NECAP Reading <br> Grade 11 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB | $88 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| VT | $74 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| SB Male | $87 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| VT Male | $69 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| SB Female | $91 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| VT Female | $79 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $67 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $94 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ |


| NECAP Math Grade 11 |  | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SB | 52\% | 57\% |
|  | VT | 38\% | 35\% |
|  | SB Male | 54\% | 63\% |
|  | VT Male | 39\% | 35\% |
|  | SB Female | 49\% | 51\% |
|  | VT Female | 38\% | 36\% |
|  | SB FRL | 15\% | 25\% |
|  | VT FRL | 21\% | 18\% |
|  | SB Not FRL | 61\% | 64\% |
|  | VT Not FRL | 47\% | 44\% |


| NECAP Writing <br> Grade 11 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB | $69 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| VT | $47 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| SB Male | $62 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| VT Male | $38 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| SB Female | $74 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| VT Female | $55 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $36 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| VT FRL | 31 | $37 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | 77 | $84 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | 54 | $64 \%$ |



| NECAP Science <br> Grade 11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2012-13 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ |  |
| SB | $54 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| VT | $32 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| SB Male | $53 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| VT Male | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| SB Female | $54 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| VT Female | $32 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| SB FRL | $15 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| VT FRL | $16 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| SB Not FRL | $63 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| VT Not FRL | $39 \%$ | $36 \%$ |

## ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS 2014-2015

Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act, all schools must show that they are making adequate yearly progress for all students in order to reach the goal of full proficiency for all students in reading and math by 2014. Under the law, each state must use an "Adequate Yearly Progress" (AYP) formula to look at data from the annual New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) and make comparisons across different sub-groups of students. These results, measured against the target, indicate whether a school's progress in student performance is adequate each year. Progress is measured in each school based on the overall school population, but progress is also determined at the subgroup level. Those four subgroups are comprised of students with disabilities, students receiving free or reduced lunch, minority students, and English language learners. Schools must have at least 40 students in these subgroups to receive an AYP determination.

## 2014 AYP Determinations by School

Chamberlin School - Year 1 Corrective Action
Orchard School - Year 1 Corrective Action
Rick Marcotte Central School - Did not make AYP for the first time
Frederick H. Tuttle Middle School - Year 1 Corrective Action
South Burlington High School - Year 2 of School Improvement

For more information about Adequately Yearly Progress, click the link below to view the Agency of Education's Frequently Asked Questions
http://education.vermont.gov/data/accountability/faqs

This year, all students must be proficient in reading and Math based on their NECAP scores. The Vermont Agency of Education has identified all 5 schools as schools not making Adequate Yearly Progress.

As a result of Chamberlin School and Orchard School not making AYP for more than one year, and Rick Marcotte Central School not making AYP for the first time, families continue to have the choice to either send their child(ren) to their neighborhood school or they may attend Rick Marcotte Central School.

## Total Number of Children Attending Rick Marcotte Central School from Families that Have Exercised School Choice

| Year | Total Number of School Choice Students |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2012-2013$ | 3 |
| $2013-2014$ | 48 |
| $2014-2015$ | 41 |

## Assessments-NATiONAL

## SCHOLASTIC APTITUDE TEST I—School Year Summary 2013-14

The College Board and Educational Testing Service administers the Scholastic Aptitude Test I (SAT I). Many colleges utilize this test as an indicator of a student's basic knowledge and ability in mathematics and verbal skills. In recent years, there has been a trend away from using SAT I as an indicator of future success and fewer colleges are requiring it for admission. At SBHS, as at other high schools, students are substituting different standardized tests such as the ACT, for entry to their college of choice. The participation ratio is based solely on the percent of last year's graduating senior class who took the tests sometime during their high school career.

| Number of Graduating Seniors | Number who took SAT I | Ratio of Participation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 208 | 160 | $77 \%$ |

The table below compares SBHS seniors' scores to state and national scores.

| Ratio of Participation | YEAR | Reading-Mean Scores |  |  | Math-Mean Scores |  |  | Writing-Mean Scores |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L |
| 77\% | 2013-2014 | 541 | 522 | 497 | 549 | 525 | 513 | 532 | 507 | 487 |
| 73\% | 2012-2013 | 560 | 516 | 496 | 565 | 519 | 514 | 549 | 505 | 488 |
| 80\% | 2011-2012 | 540 | 519 | 496 | 553 | 523 | 514 | 533 | 505 | 488 |
| 85\% | 2010-2011 | 539 | 515 | 497 | 541 | 518 | 514 | 516 | 505 | 489 |
| 70\% | 2009-2010 | 554 | 519 | 501 | 557 | 521 | 516 | 533 | 506 | 492 |

The Educational Testing Service provides data separated by gender. The table below shows SAT I results for the 2013-2014 academic year.

| Gender | Reading |  |  | Mathematics |  |  | Writing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L |
| 86 Females | 533 | 519 | 495 | 528 | 510 | 499 | 540 | 512 | 492 |
| 74 Males | 550 | 526 | 499 | 572 | 543 | 530 | 522 | 502 | 481 |

## Assessments-NATIONAL

## PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ - Fall 2013

SBHS administered the PLAN® Assessment, which is a practice ACT, to all tenth grade students in the fall of 2013. The PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ assessment helps tenth graders build a solid foundation for future academic and career success and provides information needed to help analyze SBHS' high priority issues. It is a comprehensive resource that helps students measure their current academic development, explore career/training options, and make plans for their remaining high school and postgraduation years.

PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ helps all SBHS students-those who are college bound as well as those who are likely to enter the workforce directly after high school. As a practice assessment, PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ is a powerful predictor of success on the ACT, which is one of the nation's most widely accepted college placement tests. SBHS recognizes the importance of PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ testing for all students as it focuses attention on improving academic achievement. The curriculum-based test covers the skills and knowledge that are important for success in high school and college. The PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ tests measure students' knowledge and how they apply it. For more information on the PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ visit http:// www.act.org.
PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ English Scores It is desirable to have students in the 1-13 and 14-16 score range $\boldsymbol{L O W E R}$ than the national average.

Please note that all students at SBHS take the PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ in their sophomore year (compared to selected students from across the country) making the higher comparable scores of our students especially impressive.

## South Burlington High School PLAN Scores



## Assessments-NATIONAL

## ACT—School Year 2013-14

The ACT is the nation's most widely accepted college entrance exam. It assesses high school students' general educational development and ability to complete college-level work.

- The multiple-choice tests cover four skill areas: English, mathematics, reading, and science.
- The writing test, which is optional, measures skill in planning and writing a short essay.

| YEAR | Number of Students Tested |  |  | English |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L |  |
| $2013-2014$ | 108 | 2105 | $1,845,787$ | 23.8 | 22.8 | 20.3 | 23.6 | 23.0 | 20.9 |  |
| $2012-2013$ | 89 | 2005 | $1,799,243$ | 24.5 | 22.7 | 20.2 | 25.0 | 22.8 | 20.9 |  |
| $2011-2012$ | 113 | 2009 | $1,666,017$ | 23.5 | 22.6 | 20.5 | 24.1 | 22.9 | 21.1 |  |
| $2010-2011$ | 116 | 2,053 | $1,623,112$ | 23.2 | 22.5 | 20.6 | 24.0 | 22.6 | 21.1 |  |
| $2009-2010$ | 109 | 2,054 | $1,568,835$ | 24.5 | 22.8 | 20.5 | 24.8 | 22.8 | 21.0 |  |


| YEAR | Reading |  |  | Science |  |  | Composite |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L |
| $2013-2014$ | 24.5 | 23.7 | 21.3 | 23.6 | 22.8 | 20.8 | 24.0 | 23.2 | 21.0 |
| $2012-2013$ | 24.8 | 23.4 | 21.1 | 24.2 | 22.6 | 20.7 | 24.7 | 23.0 | 20.9 |
| $2011-2012$ | 24.9 | 23.3 | 21.3 | 24.3 | 22.6 | 20.9 | 24.3 | 23.0 | 21.1 |
| $2010-2011$ | 24.4 | 23.0 | 21.3 | 23.4 | 22.2 | 20.9 | 23.8 | 22.7 | 21.1 |
| $2009-2010$ | 25.3 | 23.7 | 21.3 | 24.4 | 22.8 | 20.9 | 24.9 | 23.2 | 21.0 |



## Assessments-NATIONAL

## ADVANCED PLACEMENT TESTS

The Advanced Placement (AP) Program provides students with the opportunity to complete collegelevel studies during high school. Many colleges grant credits to students who successfully complete AP exams. In order to receive college credit, a student must take the AP test. SBHS regularly offers courses to prepare students to pass these exams in the areas of Biology, Calculus AB, Calculus BC , Computer Science A, European History, English Literature and Composition, English Language and Composition, Environmental Science, French, Chemistry, Physics B, Spanish, and U.S. Government and Politics. Students who were enrolled in these classes during the 2012-13 school year were required to take the exam. Exams in other areas are sometimes given by special request from individuals as students are not required to take a formal AP course in preparation for the exam. The results shown in the table include a few students who prepared independently.

AP exams are scored on a scale from 1 to 5 . A score of 3 or higher is considered passing. Students scoring five on more than one test receive commendations from the testing service and many receive additional credit at some colleges.

## 2014 Advanced Placement Test Results

(392 Tests Taken by 190 Students)

| Subject/Score | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | Total | $\mathbf{3}$ or Better | Mean <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biology | 1 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 20 | $65 \%$ | 2.95 |
| Calculus AB | 11 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 33 | $76 \%$ | 3.48 |
| Calculus BC |  |  |  |  |  | $3^{*}$ |  |  |
| Chemistry | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 26 | $54 \%$ | 2.77 |
| Computer Science A |  |  |  |  |  | $6^{*}$ |  |  |
| English Language | 8 | 14 | 13 | 8 |  | 43 | $81 \%$ | 3.51 |
| English Literature | 3 | 10 | 18 | 16 |  | 47 | $66 \%$ | 3.00 |
| Environ. Science |  | 5 | 3 | 4 |  | 12 | $67 \%$ | 3.08 |
| European History |  | 5 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 13 | $77 \%$ | 3.00 |
| French Language |  |  |  |  |  | $5^{*}$ |  |  |
| Physics B | 1 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 26 | $65 \%$ | 2.88 |
| Psychology | 22 | 36 | 23 | 22 | 7 | 110 | $74 \%$ | 3.40 |
| Spanish Language | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 |  | 11 | $82 \%$ | 3.18 |
| Statistics |  |  |  |  |  | $6^{*}$ |  |  |
| US Gov't \& Politics | 5 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 31 | $61 \%$ | 3.06 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 2}$ | Overall Mean |  |
| Percent of Total | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |  |  | $3.2 \mid$ |

[^0]
## High School Data

## GRADUATION RATE

The Vermont State Agency of Education defines the graduation rate as the number of students who graduated divided by the senior census count on October 1.

| Year | Oct. 1 <br> Census | Number <br> Graduated | Graduation <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013-2014$ | 214 | 208 | $97 \%$ |
| $2012-2013$ | 228 | 212 | $93 \%$ |
| $2011-2012$ | 231 | 212 | $92 \%$ |
| $2010-2011$ | 242 | 231 | $95.5 \%$ |
| $2009-2010$ | 224 | 220 | $99 \%$ |



## DROPOUT RATE

The Vermont State Agency of Education calculates dropout data. This rate does not credit SBHS for students who withdraw but return in either the current or next year or who may eventually graduate. It only gives a snapshot of the total SBHS dropout rate for one year. The data currently available is as follows:

| Year | SBHS | VT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013-2014$ | $1.04 \%$ | $2.48 \%$ |
| $2012-2013$ | $1.16 \%$ | $2.68 \%$ |
| $2011-2012$ | $2 \%$ | $2.70 \%$ |
| $2010-2011$ | $.78 \%$ | $2.58 \%$ |
| $2009-2010$ | $1.21 \%$ | $2.69 \%$ |



## High School Data

## DESTINATIONS OF STUDENTS AFTER GRADUATION

Percentage of Graduating Students Entering Higher Education

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $72 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $77 \%$ |

## STUDENTS FROM THE CLASS OF 2014 WERE ACCEPTED AT THE FOLLOWING INSTITUTIONS:

Alabama, University of
Alfred University
American University
Amherst College
Aquinas College
Arizona State University
Assumption College
Bard College
Bates College
Bay Path College
Baylor University
Berklee College of Music
Boston University
Brandeis University
Brock University
Brown University
Burlington College
California at Davis, University of
California at San Diego, University of
California State University, Chico
Carleton University
Castleton State College
Champlain College
Charleston Southern University
Clark University
Clarkson University
Clemson University
Colby College
Colby-Sawyer College
Colorado, University of, at Boulder
Colorado, University of, at Denver
College of Charleston
Colorado State University
Columbia College, Chicago
Community College of Vermont
Concordia University
Connecticut College
Connecticut, University of
Curry College
Davidson College
Delaware, University of
Denver, University of
Dickinson College
Drexel University
Eckerd College
Elon University
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical
University
Emerson College
Emmanuel college
Endicott College
Fashion Institute of Technology
Florida Institute of Technology
Florida Southern College
Fordham University
Franklin and Marshall College
Franklin Pierce University
Furman University
Gannon University
George Mason University

The George Washington University
Gonzaga University
Goucher College
Green Mountain College
Hamilton College-NY
Hartford, University of
High Point University
Hillsdale College
Hobart \& William Smith Colleges
Hofstra University
Illinois, University of, at Chicago
Illinois, University of, at Urbana-
Champaign
Illinois State University
Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Iowa, University of
Ithaca College
Johns Hopkins University
Johnson \& Wales University, Providence
Johnson State College
Kalamazoo College
Keene State College
Kutztown University
Lafayette College
Lasell College
Lesley University
Lewis \& Clark College
Lyndon State College
Maine, University of
Manhattan College
Marist College
Marquette University
Mary Washington, University of
Massachusetts College of Art \& Design
Massachusetts College of Pharmacy \& Health Sciences
Massachusetts, University of, Amherst
Massachusetts, University of, Boston
Massachusetts, University of, Dart-
mouth
Massachusetts, University of, Lowell
McDaniel College
McGill University
McMaster University
Merrimack College
Michigan State University
Michigan, University of
Middlebury College
Minnesota, University of, Twin Cities
Mississippi, University of
Montana State University, Bozeman
Mount Holyoke College
New College of Florida
New England College
New England, University of
New Hampshire, University of
New York University
North Carolina, University of, at Wilmington

North Carolina State University
Northeastern University
Norwich University
Nova Southeastern University
Ohio Wesleyan University
Oregon, University of
Pace University, New York City
Pennsylvania State University, Erie
Pennsylvania State University, University Park
Pittsburgh, University of
Plymouth State University
Providence College
Purchase College, SUNY
Purdue University
Queen's University
Quinnipiac University
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Rhode Island School of Design
Rhode Island, University of
Rivier University
Robert Morris University
Rochester Institute of Technology
Rochester, University of
Roger Williams University
Rollins College
Rutgers New Brunswick
Saint Anselm College
Saint Joseph's College-ME
Saint Michael's College
Saint Rose, The College of
Salisbury University
San Diego, University of
San Jose State University
Sarah Lawrence College
Savannah College of Art and Design
Seton Hall University
Simmons College
Skidmore College
Smith College
South Carolina, University of
Southern Maine, University of Southern New Hampshire University
St. Francis Xavier University
St. John's University, Queens Campus
St. Lawrence University
Stonehill College
Stony Brook University
Suffolk University
SUNY College at Cortland
SUNY College at Geneseo
SUNY College at Potsdam
Syracuse University
Tampa, University of
Toledo, University of
Toronto, University of
Towson University
Tufts University
Tulane University
Union College
University at Buffalo, SUNY

Ursinus College
Vermont Technical College
Vermont, University of
Villanova University
Virginia Commonwealth University
Virginia Polytechnic Institute
Wake Forest University
Warren Wilson College
Washington, University of
Waterloo, University of
Wentworth Institute of Technology
West Virginia University
Western New England University
Western University, Ontario
Wheaton College, MA
Wheelock College
William and Mary, College of
Williams College
Wisconsin, University of, Madison
Worcester Polytechnic Institute
Xavier University

## High School Data

## CO-CURRICULAR PARTICIPATION

| Activity | 2009-2010 Student Participants* | 2010-2011 Student Participants* | 2011-2012 Student Participants* | 2012-2013 Student Participants* | 2013-2014 Student Participants* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Art Club | 15 | 7 | 28 | 21 | 8 |
| Bowling Club | - | - | - | 14 | 10 |
| Coalition for Community Service | 63 | 108 | 136 | 154 | 135 |
| Drama-Fall Musical | 50 | 41 | 42 | 54 | 27 |
| Future Educators of America | 14 | 10 | 7 | 11 | 16 |
| French Club | 22 | 23 | 12 | 42 | 23 |
| Gay/Straight Alliance | 13 | 15 | 8 | 13 | 6 |
| Green Team | 6 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 13 |
| Habitat for Humanity | 25 | 37 | 28 | - | - |
| Key Club | 26 | 22 | 71 | 45 | 71 |
| Math League | 13 | 17 | 19 | 27 | 17 |
| Multi-Media Club | 9 | 11 | 7 | 9 | 14 |
| National Honor Society | 35 | 40 | 43 | 55 | 116 |
| Oceanography Club | 15 | 10 | 9 | - | - |
| PACTeens Club | 16 | 22 | 54 | 31 | 53 |
| Rowing Club | 35 | 54 | 50 | 30 | 30 |
| Rugby Club | 39 | 40 | 25 | 21 | 15 |
| Scholars' Bowl | 13 | 15 | 20 | 48 | 22 |
| Speech \& Debate Club | 29 | 20 | 18 | 25 | 28 |
| Strength \& Conditioning Club | 7 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 0 |
| Student Council | 31 | 31 | 31 | 34 | 32 |
| Table Tennis Club | 20 | 5 | - | 35 | 33 |
| Ultimate Frisbee | - | 15 | 24 | 29 | 28 |
| Unified Sports | 10 | 11 | 6 | 19 | 13 |

*The total reflects student participation and does not account for students who may participate in more than one cocurricular activity or who may participate minimally.

## High School Data

ATHLETIC PARTICIPATION

| Year <br> Total School Population | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2009-2010 \\ 892 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2010-2011 \\ 895 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2011-2012 } \\ 870 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2012-2013 \\ 862 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2013-2014 \\ 855 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Girls' Soccer | 42 | 38 | 36 | 38 | 37 |
| Boys' Soccer | 40 | 40 | 56 | 52 | 54 |
| Cheerleading | 11 | 13 | 9 | 9 | 14 |
| Girls' Field Hockey | 65 | 49 | 50 | 37 | 45 |
| Girls' XC Running | 20 | 22 | 26 | 26 | 33 |
| Boys' XC Running | 19 | 27 | 26 | 31 | 46 |
| Boys' Football | 77 | 58 | 54 | 63 | 66 |
| Fall Sports Sub - Total | 274 | 247 | 257 | 256 | 295 |
| Fall Participation | 31\% | 28\% | 30\% | 30\% | 35\% |
| Girls' Basketball | 28 | 24 | 25 | 23 | 29 |
| Girls' Gymnastics | 17 | 17 | 19 | 14 | 16 |
| Girls' Alpine Skiing | 17 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 16 |
| Girls' Nordic Skiing | 10 | 9 | 6 | 11 | 6 |
| Girls' Dance Team | 19 | 14 | 27 | 31 | 30 |
| Cheerleading | 12 | 0 | 7 |  | 12 |
| Girls' Snowboarding | 6 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 23 |
| Girls' Ice Hockey | 16 | 15 | 16 | 11 | 14 |
| Girls' Indoor Track | 33 | 54 | 51 | 48 | 39 |
| Boys' Basketball | 38 | 35 | 36 | 38 | 33 |
| Boys' Alpine Skiing | 13 | 16 | 19 | 10 | 10 |
| Boys' Nordic Skiing | 3 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 4 |
| Boys' Snowboarding | 24 | 16 | 18 | 22 | 26 |
| Boys' Ice Hockey | 25 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| Boys' Indoor Track | 34 | 48 | 52 | 72 | 61 |
| Winter Sports Sub - Total | 295 | 294 | 327 | 323 | 341 |
| Winter Participation | 33\% | 33\% | 38\% | 37\% | 40\% |
| Softball | 16 | 16 | 13 | 19 | 24 |
| Girls' Track \& Field | 37 | 37 | 37 | 31 | 42 |
| Girls' Lacrosse | 42 | 42 | 46 | 36 | 45 |
| Girls' Tennis | 16 | 16 | 34 | 31 | 26 |
| Girls' Golf | 10 | 10 | 15 | 9 | 17 |
| Baseball | 32 | 32 | 36 | 44 | 39 |
| Boys' Golf | 13 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| Boys' Track \& Field | 27 | 27 | 44 | 53 | 65 |
| Boys' Lacrosse | 45 | 45 | 59 | 64 | 59 |
| Boys' Tennis | 17 | 17 | 15 | 16 | 14 |
| Spring Sports Sub - Total | 255 | 255 | 309 | 313 | 342 |
| Spring Participation | 29\% | 33\% | 36\% | 36\% | 40\% |

## TECHNICAL CENTER STUDENT DESTINATIONS

A significant number of South Burlington students are choosing to begin their careers by attending one of the two technical centers that serve our community. Both Burlington Technical Center (BTC) and the Center for Technology in Essex (CTE) offer a wide variety of occupation oriented educational experiences that prepare students for further related study and/or direct entry into the workplace after graduation. Burlington Technical Center offers two-year programs that are half-day in length while most of the offerings at the Center for Technology in Essex are single-year programs that run most of a school day. In 2011-2012 South Burlington had 26 students attending the two technical centers.

Each technical center has an excellent record for student placement in post-secondary education and in related occupations. The following statistics help to highlight the value of these educational opportunities available to South Burlington students. The two centers report different data, which is why they are listed here in two tables.

GRADUATE PLACEMENT
Burlington Technical Center

| STATUS | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pursuing related post-secondary <br> education | $45 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $45 \%$ |  |  |
| Pursuing unrelated post-secondary educa- <br> tion | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ |  |  |
| Employed in a related field | $13 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ |  |  |
| Employed in an unrelated field | $11 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $24 \%$ |  |  |
| Military service in a related field | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Military service in an unrelated field | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Unemployed but seeking employment | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Unemployed | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| Still in high school | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| No Data | $17 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ |  |  |

Each year's data were gathered the following year and is not updated thereafter. Rounding errors keep some columns from adding to 100 percent.

## GRADUATE PLACEMENT

Center for Technology - Essex

| Performance Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students who meet 90\% of program competencies | $90 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Programs that offer industry credentials or college <br> credit | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Students who earn a transcript from post secondary <br> schools | $17.5 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Students who complete program with industry cre- <br> dentials | $92 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Non-traditional student enrollment | $14.5 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Graduates who enter employment or military | $89 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

## District Awards \& Achievements

The District gratefully recognizes the following individuals for their dedication, expertise, and love of students and learning.

10 Years of Service
Alex Anthony (Mathematics Teacher-SBHS)
Kate Branon (Special Education Teacher-Orchard)
Luke Goyette (Health/Physical Education TeacherFHTMS)
Ray Harvey (Bus Driver-District)
Marc Juneau (World Language Teacher-SBHS)
Justin Kaulius (Special Education Teacher -FHTMS) Mariah Larkin (SAP Counselor-SBHS)
Kristin Romick (Special Education Teacher-FHTMS)
Bernadette Thompson (Interventionist—FHTMS) Miroslaw Wietrzyk (Custodian—FHTMS) Jill Wilkens (CAS Mathematics-SBHS) Linda Wright (Paraeducator-FHTMS)

## 20 Years of Service

Carol Blakely (ELL Teacher-RMCS) Deirdre Guilizio (Elementary Teacher-RMCS) Tom Kessler (Mathematics Teacher—SBHS) Diane Martin (Paraeducator-Chamberlin) Debra Paul (Mathematics/Science Teacher -FHTMS) Janet Russell (Social Studies Teacher -SBHS)

30 Years of Service
Barb Gilmore (Administrative Assistant - RMCS) Roger Guillemette (Custodian-FHTMS) Jody Smith (Elementary Teacher --RMCS) Rich Wise (Science Teacher —SBHS)

## 35 Years of Service

Kathy Buley (Elementary Teacher-Chamberlin)

40 Years of Service
Norm Lavalette (Transporation Mechanic District)
Vince Masseau (Science Teacher - SBHS)
Bill Minard (Driver Education Teacher - SBHS)

## Dominick Marabella Support Staff Award

 Adele Strashnick (Administrative Assistant FHTMS)SBSD Outstanding Teacher Award Bill Wight (Social Studies Teacher-FHTMS) Denise Parker (Elementary Teacher-Chamberlin)<br>Theodore Manazir South Burlington School Board Award<br>Kim Watkin (Social Studies Teacher - SBHS)



Adele Strashnick with Dominick Marabella


[^0]:    * Test scores from courses with less than 10 students are not recorded in this report.

