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## Mission Statement

"The mission of the South Burlington School District, a community committed to excellence in education, is to ensure that each student possesses the knowledge, skills, and character to create a successful and responsible life. We will do this by building safe, caring, and challenging learning environments, fostering family and community partnerships, utilizing global resources, and inspiring life-long learning."

| South Burlington School Board |
| :--- |
| Members |
| General E-mail: schoolboard@sbschools.net |
| General Voicemail: 652-7476 |
| Elizabeth Fitzgerald, Chair |
| 865-4554 |
| efitzgerald@sbschools.net |
| Martin LaLonde, Clerk |
| 863-3086 |
| mlalonde@sbschools.net |
| Julie Beatty |
| 862-9627 |
| jbeatty@sbschools.net |
| Diane Bugbee |
| 660-8683 |
| dbugbee@sbschools.net |
| Dan Fleming |
| $864-6460$ |
| dfleming@sbschools.net |
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Please visit our District website at www.sbschools.net to view the Global Ends Policy, found by going to the Policies and Procedures tab. The school board has identified four goal areas for students to be ready for their next step. They are: disposition for life-long learning, academic proficiency, personal development, and citizenship.


## Enrollments

## Individual School Totals (as of 10/01/13)

Early Essential Education38Rick Marcotte Central School ..... 367
Orchard School ..... 355
Chamberlin School ..... 238
Frederick H. Tuttle Middle School ..... 562
South Burlington High School* ..... 853
Total Enrollments: ..... 2,413*Includes Tuition and School Choice Students


South Burlington High School "Building a Proud Tradition"

Frederick H. Tuttle Middle School "Working Together to Make a Difference"


Rick Marcotte Central School "Where Everybody is Somebody"


Orchard School "A Place to Grow"

| School | Student/Teacher Ratio <br> (Literacy, Math, Science, Social Studies) |
| :--- | :---: |
| RCMS | 19.42 |
| Chamberlin | 18.36 |
| Orchard | 18.85 |
| FHTMS * | 22.84 |
| SBHS $*$ | 20.59 |

## PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

Title I (111)(h) of the federal No Child Left Behind Law requires LEAs to publicly report the percentage of core academic classes* NOT taught by highly qualified teachers, the percentage of teachers teaching on emergency credentials by LEA and school, and the professional qualifications of their teachers. The following is the percentage of core academic classes NOT taught by highly qualified teachers and the percentage of teachers teaching on emergency credentials for the 2012-2013 school year. The South Burlington School District is working with teachers to ensure that all HQT requirements are being met.

| School Name | Percentage of core clas- <br> ses taught by teachers <br> who were not HQT . | Percent of teachers <br> teaching with <br> emergency <br> credentials. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Chamberlin School | $0.00 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| F. H. Tuttle Middle <br> School | $7.63 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Orchard School | $0.00 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Rick Marcotte <br> Central School | $0.00 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| So. Burlington <br> High School | $0.00 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

*Core academic subjects are: English/language arts (including ESL), math, science, social studies, reading, foreign languages, art, music, and the generalist endorsement areas of elementary education and early childhood education (grades K-3 only). In addition, alternative program and special education primary instruction assignments in math, science, social studies, and/or ELA/reading are also considered "core" areas.


## School's OUt!

South Burlington School District's after school program, "School's Out", was created in 2000. Since its inception, School's Out has always strived to stay true to its mission: School's Out will provide children a safe environment where they will be given the opportunity to express themselves and develop socially, physically, artistically, and creatively through a program that nurtures and respects the uniqueness of every child.

In 2013-2014, we increased enrollment from 65 to 75 children per day at each of the schools. We enrolled a total of 274 students in the program (92 at Orchard, 84 at Chamberlin, 17 at Tuttle and 81 at Rick Marcotte Central). These figures include children who are enrolled fulltime as well as parttime. There are never more than 75 children on-site at any given time.

The program focused heavily on enrichment, community outreach, field trips and learning opportunities for the children. A new curriculum component was introduced this year entitled "FUSE (Fun and Unique School's Out Experiences). FUSE workshops allowed students the opportuni-
 ty to immerse themselves in a week long curriculum that focused on a central theme (i.e. dance, archeology, weather, cartooning) and learning new skills.

The School's Out website can be found at http://www.sbschoolsout.com and a direct link can be found on the district's home page. The website is an excellent tool to learn more about the School's Out program.

## Student Support SERVICES

ENROLLMENTS AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS

| Year | Total <br> Students <br> Enrolled | Special Education <br> Child Count* | Section 504** | English <br> Language <br> Learners | Total <br> In <br> Programs | \% of SBSD Stu- <br> dents Receiving <br> Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013-2014$ | 2413 | 284 | 109 | 165 | 558 | $23.1 \%$ |
| $2012-2013$ | 2351 | 262 | 107 | 141 | 510 | $21.7 \%$ |
| $2011-2012$ | 2419 | 261 | 89 | 151 | 501 | $20.8 \%$ |
| $2010-2011$ | 2427 | 245 | 70 | 168 | 483 | $19.9 \%$ |
| $2009-2010$ | 2460 | 232 | 77 | 147 | 456 | $19.0 \%$ |

*Child Count includes students who are identified as disabled under state and federal law and who require unique instruction.
**Section 504 includes students with disabilities who do not require unique instruction. They are legally entitled to and receive special accommodations in the classroom, such as special seating and modified tests.

The District's number of students in special education has risen since last year. Annually we review and examine service delivery models in each school. Recently instituting a "student independence" process to work with teams in developing the independence of all students, moving them away from unnecessary adult supports. Each school has professional special educators who serve students in our Child Count along with students who may be at risk. Each school has an educational support system with tiered levels of support for all students. Classroom teachers review student data regularly and provide differentiated instruction at Tier I. Tier II may involve some supplemental support, such as extra instruction in reading or a structured study hall. At Tier III students are identified with a disability that may require special education services. Our efforts are to support students as early as possible to ensure their success in school. To learn more about Educational Support Services go to the Educational Support Systems tab on the district website.


## Preschool (Ages 3-5)

South Burlington is part of the Early Learning Project in Chittenden County. These preschool partnerships currently support 176 preschoolers in accessing quality preschool programs. The District continues to collaborate with Child Care Resource to implement the South Burlington Early Childhood Plan. South Burlington continues to offer an Essential Early Education Program (EEE) for children ages 3 through 5 experiencing developmental delays or those who have a medical condition that may interfere with learning and future success in the home, school, and community. This special education program provides specialized instruction to meet the unique needs of the district's 38 students who are currently enrolled.


## English Language Learners (ELL)

We have a vibrant English Language Learner (ELL) Program which is served by 5.4 (FTE) very talented and committed educators. The overall number of students served has increased, with a significant increase at the elementary level. Growth is expected to continue at every level as we enroll students from Bhutan, Somalia, Iraq and China.

We are collaborating with UVM on researching co-teaching in our high school biology class. This class is made up of $1 / 3$ ELL students and is seen as a model of inclusion and success for all students. We provide homework club for students during the school year and extended school services during the summer. Summer services include our new partnership with South Burlington Parks and Recreation for students in grades K-5, our district run middle level summer school program, and individual tutoring of some high school students.

## Information TECHNOLOGY EdUCATION



This year marks the third year of SBSD's 1:1 Program, an innovative program in which each student in grades 7-11 has been issued a District-owned, Windows 7 laptop for his or her use. Consistent with the 2012-2015 Vermont Educational Technology Plan, the District sees the 1:1 program as key to transforming student learning by "...enabling students to engage actively with their learning environment, to access resources beyond school walls, and to communicate globally." The 20122015 Vermont State Educational Technology Plan further states that "...1:1 across the state should be our new overarching goal, whether this is achieved locally or through state funding. It is through this ubiquitous access that we will change the nature of learning and meet the needs of the diverse range of learners."

The SBSD laptops are well-equipped with a variety of software including Microsoft Office
Professional, the Adobe Digital School Collection, and a variety of free tools. Students utilize the software for learning experiences which integrate communication; collaboration; data collection, organization, and analysis; critical thinking and problem solving; and creativity skills. Students routinely use their computers to write and edit documents, conduct Internet research, collect and analyze data, create presentations, explore geography with interactive maps, create original music and videos, explore science simulations, communicate and collaborate with their teachers and their peers, and more. Teachers are able to provide a wide variety of learning opportunities that are tailored to individual learners.

Our third year of 1:1 computing has been a great success. As teachers and students become more skilled at using the laptops to enrich and enhance learning, we have seen ever more effective use of these powerful learning tools. Teachers continue to engage in ongoing professional development to continue transforming learning opportunities which maximize use of the laptops. More information on the 1:1 program is available through the One-to-One Program link on the Parents menu at the top of the SBSD home page, www.sbschools.net.

Complementary to the $1: 1$ program is EDU 2.0, our online learning management system. This year marks the second year of implementation of EDU 2.0 as an
 online component to classrooms for learning activities, resources, and grades. Students at FHTMS and SBHS use EDU 2.0 daily to engage in online learning.

While the $1: 1$ program has been a focal point for much of our efforts the past few years, technology continues to play a key learning role throughout the District. Middle school students use technology in their P3 (Project/ Problem/Place-Based) projects; students throughout the District use online resources in "electronic classrooms" developed by their teachers; students create original music, presentations, videos, and other multimedia projects; students engage in projects with their peers around the world; students collect data with online forms and surveys and analyze it with Excel; and of course students do extensive research, writing, editing, and much more using technology throughout the curriculum.

## Assessments

## Early Reading

Local

- Local Early Literacy Assessment (Gr. K, 1, 2) September and May
- Local—Phonological Assessment (Gr. K, 1, 2) September and May


## English Language Arts

## State

- New England Common Assessment

October (Gr. 3-8, 11)
Local

- Writing Prompt (Gr. K-5)

January

- Gates-MacGinitie Reading Test (Gr. 3-9)

September and May

- Scholastic Aptitude Test I (High School)

Throughout the School Year

- Advanced Placement English Language and

Composition (High School)
May

- Advanced Placement English Literature and

Composition (High School)
May

- American College Test (High School)

Throughout the School Year

- American College Test/PLAN (Gr. 10)

October

- Quality Core English (Gr. 9)


## History/Social Studies

- Advanced Placement European History (High School)

May

- Advanced Placement US Gov’t \& Politics (High School)

May

- American College Test (High School)

Throughout the School Year

## Mathematics

State

- New England Common Assessment

October (Gr. 3-8, 11)

## Local

- G.E. 30 Problem Solving Assessment (Gr. K-5)

Throughout the School Year

- Scholastic Aptitude Test I (High School)

Throughout the School Year

- Advanced Placement Calculus AB (High School)

May

- Advanced Placement Calculus BC (High School)

May

- Advanced Placement Computer Science (High School)

May

- American College Test (High School)

Throughout the School Year

- American College Test/PLAN (Gr. 10)

October

## World Language

- Local World Language Assessment (Gr. 8, 10) May and June
- Advanced Placement French (High School) May
- Advanced Placement Spanish (High School)

May

## Science

State

- New England Common Assessment (Gr. 4, 8, 11)

May
Local

- Science Inquiry Task (Gr. K-5)

Throughout the School Year

- Advanced Placement Biology (High School)

May

- Advanced Placement Chemistry (High School)

May

- Advanced Placement Env. Sciences (High School) May
- Advanced Placement Physics B (High School)

May

## Assessments-STATE

## New England Common Assessment (NECAP) Grades 3-8

## Results Fall 2013

The NECAP is administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Maine, and Vermont as part of the No Child Left Behind Act. The test measures student performance on Vermont Grade Expectations in Reading, Mathematics, Writing and Science. Student performance on these assessments fall into one of four proficiency levels: Proficient with Distinction, Proficient, Partially Proficient, and Substantially Below Proficient. Below are the District's October 2013 results, reflecting all students in Grades 3-8 who scored proficient and above.

It is important to realize that the NECAP assessment results reflect our students' performance from the previous grade. For instance, the third grade results reflect the students' performance in the previous grades. The graphs below will show the year 2012-13 because that is the year of knowledge that was tested in the fall of 2013. The District student performance results indicate that our students consistently perform above the state average and are among the top ranking schools/districts in the state in each of the areas of Reading, Writing, Mathematics, and Science.

READING-The NECAP has been administered for the past nine years. Results indicate that we still have a gap between males and females. We have seen a slight increase in the reading performance of students from different socioeconomic backgrounds.

Reading Grades 3-8



Reading by Socio-Economic Status - Grades 3-8 Percent of Students At or Above Standard


## Assessments-STAte

## SOUTH BURLINGTON HIGH SCHOOL—READING GRADE 11

READING—The South Burlington High School New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) results in Reading remain above state averages. Our overall results remained constant as compared to last year. Keep in mind that new items are selected for the assessment each year. In addition, we are not assessing the same cohort of students. Grade-level results are being analyzed along with sub -group performance to determine areas in need of improvement. Our departments are also working in data teams where student work and assessment results are analyzed and teachers collaborate in the design of instruction.


Reading Grade 11 Percent of Students At or Above Standard


Reading by Gender - Grade 11 Percent of Students At or Above Standard


Reading by Socio-Economic Status - Grade 11 Percent of Students At or Above Standard


## Assessments-STATE

WRITING— As you examine the charts for Grade 5 and 8 results you will notice that there is no data for 2008-09. We did not receive results from the Grade 5 and 8 test that year as the state was piloting new items.

Our results continue to be well above the state average. Providing practice tasks to students, and also increasing opportunities for writing across the curriculum in different genres, has helped us maintain these scores. A closer analysis of writing items will provide us with additional information for the next steps. One area that we have already started to work on is our students ability to write constructed responses, which are open ended questions requiring higher-level thinking.





## Assessments-STATE

## SOUTH BURLINGTON HIGH SCHOOL—WRITING GRADE 11

WRITING—In Grade 11, our scores have significantly improved in the past two years. This is also true when looking at the results by gender and our students in poverty. Again, we continue to score higher than the state average. One programmatic change that we believe impacted student results is our shift to humanities for all ninth grade students. This type of integrated program, that encourages writing across curriculums, has been shown to improve student outcomes on state tests.


Writing Grade 11


Writing by Gender - Grade 11 Percent of Students At or Above Standard


Writing by Socio-Economic Status - Grade 11 Percent of Students At or Above Standard


## Assessments-STATE



Mathematics by Gender - Grades 3-8



MATHEMATICS-Our student performance results in this area rank above the state average and are among the highest in the state.

When reviewing student performance results in mathematics, there continues to be no significant difference between male and female groups.

The category identified as Assistance represent students that qualify for free or reduced lunch. This group is performing below South Burlington peers and continues to be evaluated as to how we can better support them. Each school continues to analyze this data so that we may better plan for how to address this area.


Rain Garden at Chamberlin School

## Assessments-STATE

## SOUTH BURLINGTON HIGH SCHOOL-MATHEMATICS GRADE 11

MATHEMATICS—At the high school level, our overall mathematics performance is above state average. When we analyze the results by gender, our male students continue to perform better than females. Our students in poverty results increased from last year. We understand that the NECAP items in mathematics are based on the State Grade Expectations in Algebra and Geometry. Starting in school year 20122013, all students in grade 9 took at least Algebra I.

Mathematics by Gender - Grade 11 Percent of Students At or Above Standard



Mathematics by Socio-Economic Status - Grade 11 Percent of Students At or Above Standard



## ASSESSMENTS——STATE

SCIENCE— The New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) Science test is given in May. All Vermont students in Grades 4, 8 and 11, including publicly funded students attending private independent schools, participate; unless a student qualifies for alternate assessment.

South Burlington students outperformed the State average in each of the grades assessed. Three years ago the elementary schools added an inquiry task at each grade level as we work to improve results.

## GRADE 4




Science By Gender Grade 4 Percent of Students At or Above Standard


Science By Socio-Economic Status Grade 4 Percent of Students At or Above Standard


## Assessments-STAte

SCIENCE- When we look at our results for science in Grade 8, we are encouraged as our results are above the state average. When looking at the data, we see that our students in poverty have had significant gains, although they continue to perform significantly lower than their peers. We will continue to work with our teachers to focus on instruction that takes into account the academic needs of these students.

## GRADE 8





## Assessments-STATE

SCIENCE- In Grade 11, our scores, since the start of testing, have remained constant. We use our results to continue re-examining the science curriculum. Three years ago we revamped the ninth grade science curriculum, in part, based on the NECAP results. Two years ago we worked on chemistry and last year biology, as this test covers all the science standards taught in the first three years of high school. Our results for students based on socio-economic status still show a significant achievement gap.

## GRADE 11






## ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS 2014-2015

Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act, all schools must show that they are making adequate yearly progress for all students in order to reach the goal of full proficiency for all students in reading and math by 2014. Under the law, each state must use an "Adequate Yearly Progress" (AYP) formula to look at data from the annual New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) and make comparisons across different sub-groups of students. These results, measured against the target, indicate whether a school's progress in student performance is adequate each year. Progress is measured in each school based on the overall school population, but progress is also determined at the subgroup level. Those four subgroups are comprised of students with disabilities, students receiving free or reduced lunch, minority students, and English language learners. Schools must have at least 40 students in these subgroups to receive an AYP determination.

## 2014 AYP Determinations by School

Chamberlin School - Year 1 Corrective Action
Orchard School - Year 1 Corrective Action
Rick Marcotte Central School - Did not make AYP for the first time
Frederick H. Tuttle Middle School - Year 1 Corrective Action
South Burlington High School - Year 2 of School Improvement

For more information about Adequately Yearly Progress, click the link below to view the Agency of Education's Frequently Asked Questions
http:/ /education.vermont.gov/data/accountability/faqs

This year, all students must be proficient in reading and Math based on their NECAP scores. The Vermont Agency of Education has identified all 5 schools as schools not making Adequate Yearly Progress.

As a result of Chamberlin School and Orchard School not making AYP for more than one year, and Rick Marcotte Central School not making AYP for the first time, families continue to have the choice to either send their child(ren) to their neighborhood school or they may attend Rick Marcotte Central School.

## Total Number of Children Attending Rick Marcotte Central School from Families that Have Exercised School Choice

| Year | Total Number of School Choice Students |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2012-2013$ | 3 |
| $2013-2014$ | 48 |
| $2014-2015$ | 41 |

## Assessments-NATiOnal

## SCHOLASTIC APTITUDE TEST I—School Year Summary 2012-13

The College Board and Educational Testing Service administers the Scholastic Aptitude Test I (SAT I). Many colleges utilize this test as an indicator of a student's basic knowledge and ability in mathematics and verbal skills. In recent years, there has been a trend away from using SAT I as an indicator of future success and fewer colleges are requiring it for admission. At SBHS, as at other high schools, students are substituting different standardized tests such as the ACT, for entry to their college of choice. The participation ratio is based solely on the percent of last year's graduating senior class who took the tests sometime during their high school career.

| Number of Graduating Seniors | Number who took SAT I | Ratio of Participation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 206 | 150 | $73 \%$ |

The table below compares SBHS seniors' scores to state and national scores.

| Ratio of Participation | YEAR | Reading-Mean Scores |  |  | Math-Mean Scores |  |  | Writing-Mean Scores |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L |
| 73\% | 2012-2013 | 560 | 516 | 496 | 565 | 519 | 514 | 549 | 505 | 488 |
| 80\% | 2011-2012 | 540 | 519 | 496 | 553 | 523 | 514 | 533 | 505 | 488 |
| 85\% | 2010-2011 | 539 | 515 | 497 | 541 | 518 | 514 | 516 | 505 | 489 |
| 70\% | 2009-2010 | 554 | 519 | 501 | 557 | 521 | 516 | 533 | 506 | 492 |
| 68\% | 2008-2009 | 544 | 518 | 501 | 556 | 518 | 515 | 534 | 506 | 493 |

The Educational Testing Service provides data separated by gender. The table below shows SAT I results for the 2012-2013 academic year.

| Gender | Reading |  |  | Mathematics |  |  | Writing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L |
| Female | 558 | 516 | 494 | 544 | 506 | 499 | 550 | 514 | 493 |
| Male | 561 | 516 | 499 | 588 | 533 | 531 | 547 | 496 | 482 |

## Assessments-NATIONAL

## PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ - Fall 2012

SBHS administered the PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ Assessment, which is a practice ACT, to all tenth grade students in the fall of 2012. The PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ assessment helps tenth graders build a solid foundation for future academic and career success and provides information needed to help analyze SBHS' high priority issues. It is a comprehensive resource that helps students measure their current academic development, explore career/training options, and make plans for their remaining high school and post-graduation years.

PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ helps all SBHS students-those who are college bound as well as those who are likely to enter the workforce directly after high school. As a practice assessment, PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}{ }^{\circledR}$ is a powerful predictor of success on the ACT, which is one of the nation's most widely accepted college placement tests. SBHS recognizes the importance of PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ testing for all students as it focuses attention on improving academic achievement. The curriculum-based test covers the skills and knowledge that are important for success in high school and college. The PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ tests measure students' knowledge and how they apply it. For more information on the PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ visit http://www.act.org.

PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ English Scores It is desirable to have students in the 1-13 and 14-16 score range $\boldsymbol{L O W E R}$ than the national average.


Please note that all students at SBHS take the PLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ in their sophomore year (compared to selected students from across the country) making the higher comparable scores of our students especially impressive.

South Burlington High School PLAN Scores


■South Burlington Students
-National Norm Group

## Subjects

## Assessments-NATIONAL

## ACT—School Year 2012-13

The ACT is the nation's most widely accepted college entrance exam. It assesses high school students' general educational development and ability to complete college-level work.

- The multiple-choice tests cover four skill areas: English, mathematics, reading, and science.
- The writing test, which is optional, measures skill in planning and writing a short essay.

| YEAR | Number of Students Tested |  |  | English |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L |  |
| $2012-2013$ | 89 | 2005 | $1,799,243$ | 24.5 | 22.7 | 20.2 | 25.0 | 22.8 | 20.9 |  |
| $2011-2012$ | 113 | 2009 | $1,666,017$ | 23.5 | 22.6 | 20.5 | 24.1 | 22.9 | 21.1 |  |
| $2010-2011$ | 116 | 2,053 | $1,623,112$ | 23.2 | 22.5 | 20.6 | 24.0 | 22.6 | 21.1 |  |
| $2009-2010$ | 109 | 2,054 | $1,568,835$ | 24.5 | 22.8 | 20.5 | 24.8 | 22.8 | 21.0 |  |
| $2008-2009$ | 110 | 2008 | $1,480,469$ | 25.1 | 22.9 | 20.6 | 25.1 | 22.9 | 21.0 |  |


| YEAR | Reading |  |  | Science Reason |  |  | Composite |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L | SBHS | VT | NAT'L |
| $2012-2013$ | 24.8 | 23.4 | 21.1 | 24.2 | 22.6 | 20.7 | 24.7 | 23.0 | 20.9 |
| $2011-2012$ | 24.9 | 23.3 | 21.3 | 24.3 | 22.6 | 20.9 | 24.3 | 23.0 | 21.1 |
| $2010-2011$ | 24.4 | 23.0 | 21.3 | 23.4 | 22.2 | 20.9 | 23.8 | 22.7 | 21.1 |
| $2009-2010$ | 25.3 | 23.7 | 21.3 | 24.4 | 22.8 | 20.9 | 24.9 | 23.2 | 21.0 |
| $2008-2009$ | 25.7 | 23.7 | 21.4 | 24.7 | 22.5 | 20.9 | 25.3 | 23.1 | 21.1 |

## TIE France

 2014

## Assessments-NATIONAL

## ADVANCED PLACEMENT TESTS

The Advanced Placement (AP) Program provides students with the opportunity to complete college-level studies during high school. Many colleges grant credits to students who successfully complete AP exams. In order to receive college credit, a student must take the AP test. SBHS regularly offers courses to prepare students to pass these exams in the areas of Biology, Calculus AB, Calculus BC, Computer Science A, European History, English Literature and Composition, English Language and Composition, Environmental Science, French, Chemistry, Physics B, Spanish, and U.S. Government and Politics. Students who were enrolled in these classes during the 2012-13 school year were required to take the exam. Exams in other areas are sometimes given by special request from individuals as students are not required to take a formal AP course in preparation for the exam. The results shown in the table include a few students who prepared independently.


## 2013 Advanced Placement Test Results

(393 Tests Taken by 194 Students)

| Subject/Score | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | Total | $\mathbf{3}$ or Better | Mean <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biology | 2 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 31 | $61 \%$ | 2.90 |
| Calculus AB | 12 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 33 | $89 \%$ | 3.82 |
| Calculus BC | 3 | - | 3 | - | - | 6 | $100 \%$ | 4.00 |
| Chemistry | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 18 | $67 \%$ | 3.17 |
| Computer Science A | - | - | - | - | - | - | $100 \%$ | - |
| English Language | 3 | 6 | 8 | 5 | - | 22 | $77 \%$ | 3.32 |
| English Literature | 10 | 16 | 18 | 14 | - | 58 | $76 \%$ | 3.38 |
| Environ. Science | - | 1 | - | 3 | 5 | 9 | $11 \%$ | 1.67 |
| European History | 8 | 10 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 37 | $86 \%$ | 3.51 |
| French Language | 1 | 8 | 4 | 2 | - | 15 | $87 \%$ | 3.53 |
| Physics B | 7 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 35 | $77 \%$ | 3.29 |
| Psychology | 15 | 17 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 66 | $71 \%$ | 3.30 |
| Spanish Language | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 12 | $58 \%$ | 2.92 |
| Statistics | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 12 | $83 \%$ | 3.17 |
| US Gov't \& Politics | 3 | 4 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 37 | $51 \%$ | 2.57 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 3}$ | Overall Mean |  |
| Percent of Total | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9} \%$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |  | 3.20 |

## High School Data

## GRADUATION RATE

The Vermont State Agency of Education defines the graduation rate as the number of students who graduated divided by the senior census count on October 1.

| Year | Oct. 1 <br> Census | Number <br> Graduated | Graduation <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2012-2013$ | 228 | 212 | $93 \%$ |
| $2011-2012$ | 231 | 212 | $92 \%$ |
| $2010-2011$ | 242 | 231 | $95.5 \%$ |
| $2009-2010$ | 224 | 220 | $99 \%$ |
| $2008-2009$ | 229 | 218 | $95 \%$ |

## DROPOUT RATE

The Vermont State Agency of Education calculates dropout data. This rate does not credit SBHS for students who withdraw but return in either the current or next year or who may eventually graduate. It only gives a snapshot of the total SBHS dropout rate for one year. The data currently available is as follows:

| Year | SBHS | VT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2012-2013$ | $1.16 \%$ | $2.68 \%$ |
| $2011-2012$ | $2 \%$ | $2.70 \%$ |
| $2010-2011$ | $.78 \%$ | $2.58 \%$ |
| $2009-2010$ | $1.21 \%$ | $2.69 \%$ |
| $2008-2009$ | $1.0 \%$ | $2.89 \%$ |



## High School Data

## DESTINATIONS OF STUDENTS AFTER GRADUATION

Percentage of Graduating Students Entering Higher Education

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | $68 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $73 \%$ |

## STUDENTS FROM THE CLASS OF 2013 WERE ACCEPTED AT THE FOLLOWING INSTITUTIONS:

Advanced Welding Institute
Albany College of Pharmacy
American University
Amherst College
Arizona State University
Assumption College
Augsburg College
Bates College
Baylor University
Bel-Rea Institute of Animal Technology
Beloit College
Bennington College
Bentley University
Berklee College of Music
Bishops University
Boston College
Boston University
Bowdoin College
Bridgewater College
Bridgton Academy
British Columbia, University of
Brown University
Bryant University
California at Irvine, University of California at Riverside, University of
California State University , Fullerton
Carleton University
Case Western Reserve University
Castleton State College
Champlain College
Chicago, University of
Claremont McKenna College
Clark University
Clarkson University
Clemson University
Cleveland State University
Colby College
Colby-Sawyer College
Colgate University
Colorado, University of, at Boulder
College of Charleston
Colorado State University
Columbia University
Community College of Vermont
Concordia University, River Forest
Connecticut College
Connecticut, University of
Cornell University
Curry College
Dartmouth College
Denver, University of
Drexel University
Duke University
Duquesne University
Eckerd College
Elms College
Elon University
Emerson College

Emmanuel College
Emory University
Endicott College
Fairfield University
Flagler College
Florida Southern College
Florida, University of
Fordham University
Franklin Peirce University
George Mason University
The George Washington University
Georgetown University
George Mason University
George Washington University
Georgetown University
Georgia Institute of Technology
Guilford College
Hamilton College-NY
Hampshire College
Hartwick College
Harvard University
Haverford College
High Point University
Hobart \& William Smith Colleges
Hofstra University
Houston, University of
Husson University
Indiana University at Bloomington
Ithaca College
James Madison University
Johns Hopkins University
Johnson \& Wales University, Provi-
dence
Johnson State College
Juniata College
Kansas State University
Keene State College
Kentucky, University of
Kenyon College
Lasell College
Lawrence University
Lehigh University
LIU Post
Long Island University, C.W. Post Campus
Loyola University, Maryland
Lyndon State College
Macalester College
University of Maine at Farmington
Maine, University of
Marietta College
Marist College
Maryland, University of, Baltimore County
Maryland, University of, College Park
Marymount University
Massachusetts College of Art \& Design
Massachusetts College of Liberal Arts
Massachusetts College of Pharmacy \&
Health Sciences
Massachusetts, University of, Amherst

Massachusetts, University of, Dartmouth
Massachusetts, University of, Lowell
McGill University
Merrimack College
Miami, University of
Michigan, University of
Middlebury College
Mount Holyoke College
New England College
New England Institute of Technology
New Hampshire, University of
New York Institute of Technology, Old
Westbury
New York University
Nichols College
North Carolina, University of, at Chapel Hill
North Carolina, University of, at Wilmington
North Carolina State University
Northeastern University
Northwestern University
Norwich University
Notre Dame, University of
Ohio State University
Oregon, University of
Otterbein University
Pace University, New York City
Paul Smith's College
Pennsylvania State University, University Park
Pennsylvania, University of
Pittsburgh, University of
Plymouth State University
Princeton University
Providence College
Queen's University
Quest University Canada
Quinnipiac University
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Rhode Island College
Rhode Island, University of
Rider University
Rochester Institute of Technology
Rochester, University of
Roger Williams University
Sacred Heart University
Saint Michael's College
Salem State University
Salve Regina University
Savannah College of Art and Design
Simmons College
Skidmore College
Smith College
Southern California, University of
Southern Maine, University of
Southern Methodist University
Southern New Hampshire University
St. Lawrence University
St. Olaf College
State University of New York, Plattsburg
State University of New York at Albany

[^0]State University of New York at New Paltz
Stonehill College
Stony Brook University
Suffolk University
SUNY Alfred State College
SUNY College at Potsdam
SUNY Oswego
Syracuse University
Texas Tech University
Trent University
Tufts University
Union College
University of Buffalo, SUNY
University of Northwestern Ohio
Vassar College
Vermont Technical College
Vermont, University of
Villanova University
Virginia Polytechnic Institute \& State University
Viterbo University
Wake Forest University
Warren Wilson College
Washington University in St. Louis
Wellesley College
Wells College
Wentworth Institute of Technology
West Virginia University
Western New England University
Westminster College
Wheaton College, MA
Williams College
Wisconsin, University of, Madison
Worcester Polytechnic Institute
Wyoming, University of
Yale University

## High School Data

## CO-CURRICULAR PARTICIPATION

| Activity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2008-2009 } \\ & \text { Student } \\ & \text { Participants* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2009-2010 } \\ & \text { Student } \\ & \text { Participants* } \end{aligned}$ | 2010-2011 Student Participants* | 2011-2012 Student Participants* | 2012-2013 Student Participants* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Art Club | 8 | 15 | 7 | 28 | 21 |
| Bowling Club | - | - | - | - | 14 |
| Coalition Community Service | 56 | 63 | 108 | 136 | 154 |
| Drama | 38 | 50 | 41 | 42 | 54 |
| Future Educators of America | 21 | 14 | 10 | 7 | 11 |
| French Club | 7 | 22 | 23 | 12 | 42 |
| Gay/Straight Alliance | 15 | 13 | 15 | 8 | 13 |
| Green Team | 6 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| Habitat for Humanity | 23 | 25 | 37 | 28 | - |
| Key Club | 32 | 26 | 22 | 71 | 45 |
| Math League | 20 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 27 |
| Multi-Media Club | - | 9 | 11 | 7 | 9 |
| National Honor Society | 48 | 35 | 40 | 43 | 55 |
| Oceanography Club | 10 | 15 | 10 | 9 | - |
| PACTeens Club | - | 16 | 22 | 54 | 31 |
| Rowing club | 37 | 35 | 54 | 50 | 30 |
| Rugby Club | - | 39 | 40 | 25 | 21 |
| Scholars' Bowl | 13 | 13 | 15 | 20 | 48 |
| Speech \& Debate Club | 24 | 29 | 20 | 18 | 25 |
| Strength \& Conditioning Club | - | 7 | 7 | 8 | 3 |
| Student Council | 29 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 34 |
| Table Tennis Club | 14 | 20 | 5 | - | 35 |
| Ultimate Frisbee | - | - | 15 | 24 | 29 |
| Unified Sports | - | 10 | 11 | 6 | 19 |

*The total reflects student participation and does not account for students who may participate in more than one cocurricular activity or who may participate minimally.

## High School Data

ATHLETIC PARTICIPATION

| Year Total School Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2008-2009 } \\ 902 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2009-2010 } \\ 892 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2010-2011 \\ 895 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2011-2012 } \\ 870 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2012-2013 \\ 862 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Girls' Soccer | 56 | 42 | 38 | 36 | 38 |
| Boys' Soccer | 48 | 40 | 40 | 56 | 52 |
| Cheerleading | 12 | 11 | 13 | 9 | 9 |
| Girls' Field Hockey | 53 | 65 | 49 | 50 | 37 |
| Girls' XC Running | 15 | 20 | 22 | 26 | 26 |
| Boys' XC Running | 17 | 19 | 27 | 26 | 31 |
| Boys' Football | 62 | 77 | 58 | 54 | 63 |
| Fall Sports Sub - Total | 263 | 274 | 247 | 257 | 256 |
| Fall Participation | 29\% | 31\% | 28\% | 30\% | 30\% |
| Girls' Basketball | 24 | 28 | 24 | 25 | 23 |
| Girls' Gymnastics | 19 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 14 |
| Girls' Alpine Skiing | 10 | 17 | 14 | 15 | 12 |
| Girls' Nordic Skiing | 10 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 11 |
| Girls' Dance Team | 17 | 19 | 14 | 27 | 31 |
| Cheerleading | 11 | 12 | 0 | 7 |  |
| Girls' Snowboarding | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 2 |
| Girls' Ice Hockey | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 11 |
| Girls' Indoor Track | 32 | 33 | 54 | 51 | 48 |
| Boys' Basketball | 31 | 38 | 35 | 36 | 38 |
| Boys' Alpine Skiing | 9 | 13 | 16 | 19 | 10 |
| Boys' Nordic Skiing | 6 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Boys' Snowboarding | 17 | 24 | 16 | 18 | 22 |
| Boys' Ice Hockey | 25 | 25 | 20 | 22 | 22 |
| Boys' Indoor Track | 29 | 34 | 48 | 52 | 72 |
| Winter Sports Sub - Total | 263 | 295 | 294 | 327 | 323 |
| Winter Participation | 29\% | 33\% | 33\% | 38\% | 37\% |
| Softball | 24 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 19 |
| Girls' Track \& Field | 42 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 31 |
| Girls' Lacrosse | 38 | 42 | 42 | 46 | 36 |
| Girls' Tennis | 25 | 16 | 16 | 34 | 31 |
| Girls' Golf | 14 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 9 |
| Baseball | 36 | 32 | 32 | 36 | 44 |
| Boys' Golf | 19 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 10 |
| Boys' Track \& Field | 26 | 27 | 27 | 44 | 53 |
| Boys' Lacrosse | 55 | 45 | 45 | 59 | 64 |
| Boys' Tennis | 14 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 16 |
| Spring Sports Sub - Total | 293 | 255 | 255 | 309 | 313 |
| Spring Participation | 32\% | 29\% | 33\% | 36\% | 36\% |

## TECHNICAL CENTER STUDENT DESTINATIONS

A significant number of South Burlington students are choosing to begin their careers by attending one of the two technical centers that serve our community. Both Burlington Technical Center (BTC) and the Center for Technology in Essex (CTE) offer a wide variety of occupation oriented educational experiences that prepare students for further related study and/or direct entry into the workplace after graduation. Burlington Technical Center offers two-year programs that are half-day in length while most of the offerings at the Center for Technology in Essex are single-year programs that run most of a school day. In 2011-2012 South Burlington had 26 students attending the two technical centers.

Each technical center has an excellent record for student placement in post-secondary education and in related occupations. The following statistics help to highlight the value of these educational opportunities available to South Burlington students. The two centers report different data, which is why they are listed here in two tables.

GRADUATE PLACEMENT
Burlington Technical Center

| STATUS | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pursuing related post-secondary <br> education | $44 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Pursuing unrelated post-secondary educa- <br> tion | $9 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Employed in a related field | $16 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Employed in an unrelated field | $18 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Military service in a related field | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $.5 \%$ |
| Military service in an unrelated field | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $.5 \%$ |
| Unemployed but seeking employment | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Unemployed | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Still in high school | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| No Data | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Each year's data were gathered the following year and is not updated thereafter. Rounding errors keep some columns from adding to 100 percent.

## GRADUATE PLACEMENT

Center for Technology - Essex

| Performance Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students who meet 90\% of program competencies | $83 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| Programs that offer industry credentials or college <br> credit | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Students who earn a transcript from post secondary <br> schools | $18 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Students who complete program with industry cre- <br> dentials | $60 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Non-traditional student enrollment | $17 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Graduates who enter employment or military | $92 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $92 \%$ |

## District Awards \& Achievements

The District gratefully recognizes the following individuals for their dedication, expertise, and love of students and learning.

## 10 Years of Service

Alysia Backman (Literacy Coach-SBHS)
Amy Blauvelt (Library/Media Specialist—FHTMS)
Slade Bosley (Bus Driver—District)
Arthur Demarais (Bus Driver-District)
Susie Ely (Art Teacher-RMCS)
Philip Galiga (Art/Technology Education TeacherSBHS)
Kathy Grzywna (Bus Monitor-District)
Dorothy Hardy (Food Service—Orchard)
Sissel Ann Kristiansen (Paraeducator-FHTMS)
Craig LaPine (Special Education Teacher-Orchard)
John Luce (World Language Teacher-SBHS)
Christine Lundie (Big Picture Teacher-SBHS)
Amelia Lutz (Science Teacher-FHTMS)
Jennifer Opel (ELL Teacher-Chamberlin) Laura Payson (Reading Recovery/Literacy TeacherChamberlin)
Steven Schmidt (Elementary Teacher-Orchard)

## 20 Years of Service

Elizabeth Coleman (Art Teacher-FHTMS) Michelle DeGrechie (Paraeducator-RMCS) Carolyn King (Special Education Teacher-RMCS) Sylvie Leonard (Bus Driver-District) Kristin Manazir (Mathematics Teacher -FHTMS) Carol McQuillen (Elementary Teacher -Orchard) Bonnie O'Day (Reading Recovery Teacher-RMCS) Jason Pecor (Elementary Teacher-Orchard) Roberta Pennington (Elementary Teacher - RMCS)

## 30 Years of Service

Janet Hayden (ELL Teacher -Orchard) Ellen Lascelle (Speech Language Pathologist—RMCS)

35 Years of Service
Barbara Gill (World Languages Teacher-FHTMS)

40 Years of Service
Sheila Burleigh (Physical Education TeacherSBHS)
Edward Darling (Language Arts Teacher - SBHS)
Kathleen Kaye (Language Arts Teacher -FHTMS)

## Dominick Marabella Support Staff Award

Peg Adams (Registrar -SBHS)
Jackie Stevenson (Administrative Assistant—SBHS)

SBSD Outstanding Teacher Award<br>Amy Frostman (Music Teacher-Orchard)<br>George Cannon (Science Teacher-SBHS)

## Theodore Manazir South Burlington School Board Award

PACT—Parents and Adults Celebrating Children and Teens (Susie Merrick and Steve Loyer-District)


Dominick Marabella with Peg Adams and Jackie Stevenson


[^0]:    State University of New York at Albany

