

South Burlington MS HS Study- Project Evaluation Criteria

The major categories are scored 0 - 10, with 10 being best, to reflect how well each option addresses the criteria. The total at bottom is the sum of these scores.

Criteria

Baseline Capital Improvement Plan Only
 Reno MS / Reno HS
 No educational or space revisions
 Renovation/Addition at MS and HS
 Top priority educational space issues only
 Renovation/Addition at MS (6-8)
 Renovation/Addition at HS
 Same as Option 3 except with Grade 5 addition to Middle School
 Renovation/Addition with Grade 5 to be MS-HS
 New High School onto Turtle School
 Reno/Add at MS, Demo Existing HS
 New Middle School / New High School on existing site
 Demo MS, Demo Existing HS
 New MS-HS (6-12) on existing site
 Demo MS, Demo HS

	Opt 1	Opt 2	Opt 3	Opt 4	Opt 5	Opt 6	Opt 7	Opt 8
Education								
1 Provides flexible and adaptable spaces								
2 Uses strategic furniture and classroom design to support Universal Design for Learning (UDL) and Project-based Learning (PBL)								
3 Includes variety in space types, sizes and personalities. Allowing for passive supervision of varied activities.								
4 Promotes collaboration and exhibitions of learning								
5 Seamlessly integrates Special Ed on-team or dept								
6 Allows sharing resources and spaces between MS and HS								
7 Integration of ubiquitous technology to support teaching/learning- to include analog and digital resources								
8 Includes outdoor learning spaces								
9 Addresses adjacencies of programs to support educational model								
Student-Centered / Wellness / Supports Faculty								
1 Transforms the cafeteria for use as a "student center"								
2 Transforms and improves the physical education spaces to be "wellness hubs"								
3 Includes quality visual and performing arts, athletic and media center spaces								
4 Promotes a "sense of community and collaboration" for students and teachers								
5 Gives importance to the wellness of staff and students through building design								
6 Provides access to natural daylight and views								
7 Includes adaptable spaces for professional development								
8 Encourages and supports autonomy, self-direction, sense of trust- and passive supervision								
9 Inspires students' desire to learn, take academic risks, and care for their building								
Site								
1 Proximity of well-lit student and staff parking to main entrance								
2 Access to playfields								
3 Having a safe, clear and easily understood circulation route - Separation of bus and car traffic and adequate queuing space								
4 Safe access for pedestrians and bicyclists, including access from parking								
5 Ability to expand building for future growth								
6 Safe access into and out of site								
Community								
1 Quality community spaces and ease of access to these spaces								
2 Provide safety and security while being invisible to students and visitors								
3 Public/Private Separation								
Sustainability								
1 Maximizes "green" design features and energy efficiency - lower annual operating costs								
Logistics / Construction Impact								
1 Simple construction phasing								
2 Minimal disturbance to students/teachers								
3 Temporary facilities not required								
Cost								
1 Total Cost								
2 Cost to Benefit Analysis								
3 Phasing Costs								

TOTAL SCORE

Scoring Key

8 to 10	●	Best
5 to 7	⊙	Good
1 to 4	○	Poor

Summary

EDUCATION

This category examines each option's ability to meet the District's educational program goals. Options that fully meet the program, including room size guidelines and preferred spatial relationships, optimize the student experience and wellness, have the flexibility to adapt for day-to-day learning needs and to meet the needs of education over time will score highest.

STUDENT-CENTERED BUILDING

The building design affects the functionality of the school. Options that support designs with designated age-appropriate areas, a compact footprint, encourage and support autonomy, self-direction and trust, are inspiring, optimize access to natural daylight as well as the arts and athletic spaces will score highest.

SITE

Site circulation and amenities affect the safety of the school and can augment or hinder curricular and extracurricular programming. Options that separate pedestrian, bus, and car traffic, locate parking close to building entrances, include the appropriate type and count of athletic fields, and afford the building the ability to expand if necessary in the future will score highest.

COMMUNITY

School buildings are community assets and are used well beyond the school day. Options that are organized to provide ease of access to community use spaces (auditorium, gym/ locker rooms/ fields, dining commons, library, etc.), the ability to separate 'public' space from 'private' space, and consider safety and security will score highest.

South Burlington MS HS Study- Project Evaluation Criteria

<p>The major categories are scored 0 - 10, with 10 being best, to reflect how well each option addresses the criteria. The total at bottom is the sum of these scores.</p> <p>Criteria</p>	<p>Baseline Capital Improvement Plan Only- Reno MS / Reno HS No educational or space revisions</p>								
	<p>Renovation/Addition at MS and HS Top priority educational space revisions</p>		<p>Renovation/Addition at MS (6-8) Renovation/Addition at HS</p>		<p>Same as Option 3 except with Grade 5 addition to Middle School</p>		<p>Renovation/Addition onto Turtle School to be MS-HS (6-12), Demo Existing HS</p>		<p>New High School on existing site Reno/Add at MS, Demo Existing HS</p>
	Opt 1	Opt 2	Opt 3	Opt 4	Opt 5	Opt 6	Opt 7	Opt 8	
<p>SUSTAINABILITY</p> <p>While all construction options will meet current energy code and improve the existing buildings' energy efficiency, some options will be able to do this better than others. Options that optimize building performance, and provide the highest quality interior environment will score highest.</p>									
<p>LOGISTICS/ IMPACT</p> <p>All options are located on the existing HS/ MS campus and will need to be constructed while school is in session. Options that do not require phased construction (which would elongate the construction schedule and increase costs), will minimize the disruption to teaching and learning, and do not require temporary/ modular classrooms will score highest.</p>									
<p>COST</p> <p>Many factors will impact the overall cost of an option including construction costs, deferred maintenance costs (when applicable), construction phasing premiums and temporary classrooms. Options that score well on cost-to-benefit analysis will score highest.</p>									